

Cyber Security Challenges and Emerging Trend

¹Manju, ² Dr. Dinesh Kumar

¹M. Tech Scholar, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, BRCM CET, Bahal, (Haryana), India

²Professor, Department of Computer Science & Engineering, BRCM CET, Bahal, (Haryana), India

ABSTRACT

Cyber security has become one of the most critical issues facing organizations and individuals in the digital age. As technology continues to advance and become more integrated into every aspect of our lives, the threat landscape is becoming increasingly complex and dynamic. This paper explores the current challenges in cyber security and emerging trends that are shaping the future of the field. We discuss the impact of new technologies such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and blockchain on cyber security, as well as the evolving tactics of cyber criminals. The paper also examines best practices and strategies for mitigating cyber risks and building resilience in the face of ever-evolving threats.

Keywords: *cyber security; emerging trends; threat landscape; artificial intelligence; Internet of Things; blockchain; cyber resilience*

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid pace of technological change has brought about numerous benefits and opportunities, but it has also created new vulnerabilities and risks in the realm of cyber security. As organizations and individuals become increasingly reliant on digital systems and networks, the potential impact of cyber attacks and data breaches has grown exponentially. In 2021 alone, the global cost of cybercrime was estimated to be \$6 trillion, and this figure is projected to rise to \$10.5 trillion by 2025 [1].

The COVID-19 pandemic has further accelerated the shift towards remote work and digital transformation, exposing new attack surfaces and highlighting the need for robust cyber security measures [2].

In this context, it is crucial to understand the current challenges in cyber security and the emerging trends that are shaping the future of the field. This paper aims to provide an overview of the key issues and developments in cyber security, drawing on recent research [2] and industry insights. Section 2 discusses the impact of new technologies such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and blockchain on cyber

security. Section 3 examines the evolving tactics and techniques of cyber criminals, including ransomware, supply chain attacks, and social engineering. Section 4 explores best practices and strategies for mitigating cyber risks and building resilience, with a focus on risk assessment, incident response, and employee training. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper and highlights areas for future research.

2. Impact of New Technologies on Cyber Security

2.1 Artificial Intelligence

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a powerful tool for both defenders and attackers in the cyber security landscape. On the defensive side, AI can be used to detect and respond to threats in real-time, analyse vast amounts of data to identify anomalies and patterns, and automate security processes to reduce the burden on human analysts [3].

However, AI can also be weaponized by cyber criminals to launch more sophisticated and targeted attacks. Adversarial machine learning techniques can be used to evade detection by security systems, while deep learning models can be trained to generate convincing phishing emails and social engineering

campaigns [4].

Table 1 summarizes the potential benefits and risks of AI in cyber security.

Table 1. Benefits and Risks of AI in Cyber Security

Benefits	Risks
Real-time threat detection and response	Adversarial machine learning techniques to evade detection
Anomaly detection and pattern recognition	AI-powered malware that can adapt and evolve
Automation of security processes	Deep learning models for sophisticated phishing and social engineering

2.2 Internet of Things

The Internet of Things (IoT) refers to the growing network of interconnected devices and sensors that collect and exchange data over the internet. From smart home appliances and wearable devices to industrial control systems and smart city infrastructure, the IoT is transforming many aspects of our lives and work [5].

IoT devices are designed with functionality and convenience in mind, often at the expense of security. They may have weak or default passwords, unpatched vulnerabilities, or insecure communication protocols, making them easy targets for attackers [6].

To address these challenges, there is a growing need for secure-by-design approaches to IoT development, as well as robust authentication, encryption, and update mechanisms.

Regulatory frameworks such as the EU Cybersecurity Act and the US IoT Cybersecurity Improvement Act aim to establish baseline security requirements for IoT devices [7]. Table 2 highlights some of the key security considerations for IoT devices.

Table 2. Security Considerations for IoT

Consideration	Description
Authentication	Strong and unique passwords, two-factor authentication
Encryption	Secure communication protocols, data encryption at rest and in transit
Updates	Regular security patches and firmware updates
Secure boot	Verification of firmware integrity at startup
Segmentation	Isolation of IoT devices from critical network assets

2.3 Blockchain

Blockchain technology, which underpins cryptocurrencies like Bitcoin and Ethereum, has garnered significant attention for its potential to revolutionize various industries beyond finance. At its core, a blockchain is a decentralized, distributed ledger that records transactions in a secure and immutable manner [8]. Each block in the chain contains a cryptographic hash of the previous block, creating a tamper-evident record of all transactions [9].

From a cyber security perspective, blockchain offers several potential benefits. The decentralized nature of blockchain networks makes them more resilient to single points of failure and attacks, as there is no central authority or server to target. The use of cryptographic hashes and digital signatures provides integrity and non-repudiation for transactions, reducing the risk of fraud and tampering. Smart contracts, which are self-executing programs that run on a blockchain, can automate security processes and enforce predefined rules and policies.[10]

However, blockchain technology also introduces new security risks and challenges. The immutability of blockchain records means that errors or malicious transactions cannot be easily reversed or corrected. Smart contract vulnerabilities, such as reentrancy attacks and integer overflows, can be exploited by attackers to steal funds or disrupt operations. The decentralized nature of blockchain networks can also make it more difficult to coordinate incident response and recovery efforts [11]. Table

3 outlines some of the key security advantages and challenges of blockchain technology

Table 3. Security Advantages and Challenges of Blockchain Technology

Advantages	Challenges
Decentralization and resilience	Immutability and difficulty of error correction
Integrity and non-repudiation	Smart contract vulnerabilities
Automation through smart contracts	Decentralized incident response and recovery

3. Evolving Tactics of Cyber Criminals

3.1 Ransomware

Ransomware has emerged as one of the most significant threats to organizations and individuals in recent years. Ransomware is a type of malware that encrypts a victim's files and demands a ransom payment in exchange for the decryption key [12]. The impact of ransomware attacks can be devastating, resulting in financial losses, operational disruptions, and reputational damage.

The tactics and techniques used by ransomware attackers have evolved over time. Early ransomware variants relied on simple encryption schemes and demanded relatively small ransom payments, often in the form of cryptocurrency [13]. However, more recent ransomware campaigns have become increasingly sophisticated, using advanced encryption algorithms, exfiltrating sensitive data before encryption, and demanding larger ransom payments [14]

The rise of ransomware-as-a-service (RaaS) models has made it easier for cybercriminals to launch attacks, even without significant technical expertise [15].

Incident response plans should be tested and updated regularly, and organizations should have a clear policy on whether to pay ransom demands. Table 4 summarizes some of the key ransomware trends and mitigation strategies.

Table 4. Ransomware Trends and Mitigation Strategies

Trend	Mitigation Strategy
-------	---------------------

Sophisticated encryption and data exfiltration	Regular backups and endpoint protection
Ransomware-as-a-service models	Network segmentation and access controls
Larger ransom demands and payment methods	Incident response planning and testing
Targeting of critical infrastructure and supply chains	Employee training and awareness

3.2 Supply Chain Attacks

Supply chain attacks have emerged as a significant threat to organizations in recent years, as cybercriminals seek to exploit vulnerabilities in third-party suppliers and service providers. A supply chain attack occurs when an attacker compromises a trusted supplier or vendor, using their access and credentials to infiltrate the target organization [16].

The SolarWinds attack, which was discovered in late 2020, is a notable example of a supply chain attack. The attackers compromised the software build process of SolarWinds, a leading provider of IT management tools, and inserted malicious code into an update of their Orion software [17]. This update was then distributed to thousands of SolarWinds customers, including government agencies and Fortune 500 companies, allowing the attackers to establish a foothold in their networks.

Supply chain attacks are particularly challenging to defend against, as organizations have limited visibility and control over the security practices of their suppliers and partners

Zero-trust architectures, which assume that no user or device can be trusted by default, can help to limit the impact of supply chain attacks by enforcing strict authentication and access controls [18]. Automated and continuous monitoring of network traffic and user behavior can also help to detect anomalies and potential compromises early in the attack lifecycle. Table 5 outlines some of the key considerations for managing supply chain risks

Table 5. Considerations for Managing Supply Chain Risks

Consideration	Description
Supplier due diligence	Assess supplier security practices, certifications, and incident history
Security requirements	Define minimum security standards and controls for suppliers
Monitoring and compliance	Regularly assess supplier performance and compliance with security requirements
Zero-trust architectures	Implement strict authentication and access controls for all users and devices
Automated threat detection	Monitor network traffic and user behavior for anomalies and potential compromises

3.3 Social Engineering

Social engineering attacks, which exploit human psychology and manipulation tactics to trick victims into divulging sensitive information or performing harmful actions, continue to be a major threat to organizations and individuals. Phishing attacks, which use fraudulent emails or websites to steal login credentials or distribute malware, are one of the most common forms of social engineering [19].

The COVID-19 pandemic has provided new opportunities for social engineering attacks, as cybercriminals seek to exploit fear, uncertainty, and the shift to remote work. Phishing emails claiming to provide information about the virus, government relief programs, or remote work policies have been used to distribute malware and steal sensitive information [20].

To defend against social engineering attacks, organizations need to prioritize employee training and awareness programs. Regular phishing simulations and exercises can help employees recognize and report suspicious emails and websites [21]. Multi-factor authentication and access controls can limit the impact of compromised credentials, while email filtering and web browsing protection can block known phishing sites and malware [22].

However, social engineering tactics are constantly evolving, and attackers are becoming more skilled at crafting persuasive

and personalized lures. Organizations need to foster a culture of security awareness and vigilance, encouraging employees to think critically about the requests and information they receive, even from seemingly trusted sources. Table 6 highlights some of the key best practices for mitigating social engineering risks.

Table 6. Best Practices for Mitigating Social Engineering Risks

Best Practice	Description
Employee training and awareness	Regular phishing simulations and exercises, security awareness programs
Multi-factor authentication	Require additional authentication factors beyond passwords
Access controls	Limit user access to sensitive data and systems based on role and need
Email filtering and web protection	Block known phishing sites and malware, filter suspicious email content
Security culture and vigilance	Encourage critical thinking and reporting of suspicious requests and information

4. Strategies for Mitigation and Resilience

4.1 Risk Assessment and Management

Effective cyber security begins with a thorough understanding of an organization's assets, vulnerabilities, and threats. Risk assessment is the process of identifying, analyzing, and evaluating the potential impact of cyber risks on an organization's operations, reputation, and bottom line [23]. By conducting regular risk assessments, organizations can prioritize their security investments and allocate resources to the areas of greatest need and potential impact.

Risk management frameworks, such as the NIST Cybersecurity Framework and ISO 27001, provide structured approaches to identifying, assessing, and mitigating cyber risks [24].

However, risk assessment and management is not a one-time exercise, but an ongoing process that requires continuous

monitoring and adjustment. As the threat landscape evolves and new vulnerabilities emerge, organizations need to regularly reassess their risks and update their control mechanisms. Automated tools and technologies, such as vulnerability scanners and security information and event management (SIEM) systems, can help to streamline risk assessment and monitoring processes [25]. Table 7 outlines some of the key steps in the risk assessment and management process.

Table 7. Key Steps in the Risk Assessment and Management Process

Step	Description
Asset inventory	Identify and classify all assets, including hardware, software, and data
Vulnerability assessment	Scan assets for known vulnerabilities and misconfigurations
Threat modeling	Identify potential threat actors, attack vectors, and impact scenarios
Risk evaluation	Assess the likelihood and impact of identified risks
Control implementation	Select and implement appropriate security controls to mitigate risks
Monitoring and review	Continuously monitor the effectiveness of controls and reassess risks

4.2 Incident Response and Recovery

Despite an organization's best efforts to prevent cyber attacks, incidents are inevitable. An incident response plan is a documented set of procedures and guidelines for detecting, containing, and recovering from a cyber security incident. The goal of incident response is to minimize the impact of an incident on an organization's operations and reputation, while preserving evidence for forensic analysis and legal proceedings. The NIST Cybersecurity Framework outlines four key phases of incident response: preparation, detection and analysis, containment and recovery, and post-incident activity [26]. In the preparation phase, organizations develop their incident response plan, assemble their incident response team, and conduct regular exercises and simulations to test their readiness. Detection and analysis involve identifying potential incidents

through monitoring and alerting, and investigating the scope and impact of the incident.

Containment and recovery focus on isolating affected systems and networks, eradicating the threat, and restoring normal operations. This may involve shutting down compromised servers, blocking malicious traffic, and restoring data from backups. Post-incident activity includes conducting a thorough review of the incident, identifying lessons learned, and updating the incident response plan and security controls as needed.

Effective incident response requires a combination of people, processes, and technology. Incident response teams should include representatives from IT, security, legal, and public relations, and should have clear roles and responsibilities [27].

Table 8 summarizes the key phases and activities of incident response.

Table 8. Key Phases and Activities of Incident Response

Phase	Activities
Preparation	Develop incident response plan, assemble team, conduct exercises and simulations
Detection and Analysis	Monitor for potential incidents, investigate scope and impact
Containment and Recovery	Isolate affected systems, eradicate threat, restore normal operations
Post-Incident Activity	Review incident, identify lessons learned, update plan and controls

4.3 Employee Training and Awareness

Human error and lack of security awareness are often the weakest links in an organization's cyber security posture. Employees who fall for phishing scams, use weak passwords, or mishandle sensitive data can unwittingly open the door for cyber attackers. Therefore, effective employee training and awareness programs are critical components of a comprehensive cyber security strategy.

Security awareness training should cover a wide range of topics, including password hygiene, email and web browsing safety, social engineering tactics, and data handling practices [28]. Training should be engaging, interactive, and tailored to the specific roles and responsibilities of different employee groups. For example, developers may require additional training on

secure coding practices, while executives may need guidance on managing cyber risks at a strategic level.

Regular phishing simulations and exercises can help to reinforce training concepts and identify areas for improvement [29]. By sending fake phishing emails to employees and tracking their responses, organizations can measure the effectiveness of their training programs and provide targeted feedback and remediation. Gamification techniques, such as leaderboards and badges, can also be used to incentivize participation and engagement in security awareness activities [30].

However, training and awareness should not be viewed as a one-time event, but as an ongoing process of continuous learning and improvement. As the threat landscape evolves and new attack techniques emerge, organizations need to regularly update their training content and delivery methods. Metrics and feedback from training programs should be used to inform future initiatives and prioritize areas for investment. Table 9 outlines some of the key elements of effective employee training and awareness programs.

Table 9. Key Elements of Effective Employee Training and Awareness Programs

Element	Description
Comprehensive content	Cover a wide range of security topics relevant to different roles and responsibilities
Engaging delivery	Use interactive and immersive training methods, such as simulations and gamification
Regular reinforcement	Conduct periodic training sessions and phishing exercises to reinforce concepts
Metrics and feedback	Track participation, completion rates, and feedback to measure effectiveness and identify areas for improvement
Continuous improvement	Regularly update training content and delivery methods based on evolving threats and best practices

5. Conclusion

Cyber security is a complex and ever-evolving challenge that requires a multi-faceted approach. As new technologies such as AI, IoT, and blockchain continue to reshape the digital landscape, organizations must adapt their security strategies and practices to keep pace with emerging threats and risks. At the same time, the tactics and techniques of cyber criminals are becoming more sophisticated and targeted, exploiting human vulnerabilities and supply chain weaknesses to infiltrate networks and steal sensitive data.

To defend against these threats and build resilience in the face of cyber attacks, organizations need to adopt a proactive and risk-based approach to security. This involves conducting regular risk assessments, implementing layered security controls, and developing robust incident response and recovery plans. Employee training and awareness programs are also critical to fostering a culture of security and vigilance, and empowering individuals to play an active role in protecting their organizations from cyber threats.

However, cyber security is not a problem that can be solved by technology alone. It requires ongoing collaboration and coordination between government, industry, and academia to share threat intelligence, develop best practices, and build a skilled and diverse cybersecurity workforce. As the digital world continues to evolve and expand, so too must our collective efforts to secure it against the ever-present threat of cyber attacks.

REFERENCES

- [1] Cybersecurity Ventures. (2021). Cybercrime To Cost The World \$10.5 Trillion Annually By2025 <https://cybersecurityventures.com/cybercrime-damage-costs-10-trillion-by-2025/>
- [2] World Economic Forum. (2021). The Global Risks Report 2021. <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-global-risks-report-2021>
- [3] Berman, D. S., Buczak, A. L., Chavis, J. S., & Corbett, C. L. (2019). A Survey of Deep Learning Methods for

- Cyber Security. Information, 10(4), 122.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/info10040122>
- [4] Kaloudi, N., & Li, J. (2020). The AI-Based Cyber Threat Landscape: A Survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 53(1), 20:1-20:34. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3372823>
- [5] Frustaci, M., Pace, P., Aloï, G., & Fortino, G. (2018). Evaluating Critical Security Issues of the IoT World: Present and Future Challenges. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*,
- [6] Neshenko, N., Bou-Harb, E., Crichigno, J., Kaddoum, G., & Ghani, N. (2019). Demystifying IoT Security: An Exhaustive Survey on IoT Vulnerabilities and a First Empirical Look on Internet-Scale IoT Exploitations. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 21(3), 2702–2733.
- [7] European Union Agency for Cybersecurity. (2021). ENISA Threat Landscape for Supply Chain Attacks G. Carneiro, A. B. Chan, P. J. Moreno, and N. Vasconcelos. Supervised learning of semantic classes for image annotation and retrieval. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 29(3):394–410, 2007.
- [8] Puthal, D., Malik, N., Mohanty, S. P., Kougianos, E., & Yang, C. (2018). The Blockchain as a Decentralized Security Framework [Future Directions]. *IEEE Consumer Electronics Magazine*, 7(2), 18–21. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCE.2017.2776459>
- [9] Meng, W., Tischhauser, E. W., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., & Han, J. (2018). When Intrusion Detection Meets Blockchain Technology: A Review. *IEEE Access*, 6, 10179–10188.
- [10] Atzei, N., Bartoletti, M., & Cimoli, T. (2017). A Survey of Attacks on Ethereum Smart Contracts (SoK). In M. Maffei & M. Ryan (Eds.), *Principles of Security and Trust* (pp. 164–186).
- [11] Saad, M., Spaulding, J., Njilla, L., Kamhoua, C., Shetty, S., Nyang, D., & Mohaisen, A. (2019). Exploring the Attack Surface of Blockchain: A Systematic Overview.
- [12] Al-rimy, B. A. S., Maarof, M. A., & Shaid, S. Z. M. (2018). Ransomware threat success factors, taxonomy, and countermeasures: A survey and research directions. *Computers & Security*, 74, 144–166.
- [13] Zimba, A., Wang, Z., & Mulenga, M. (2019). Cryptojacking Injection: A Paradigm Shift to Cryptocurrency-based Web-centric Internet Attacks. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 29(1), 40–59.
- [14] Berghel, H. (2017). Equifax and the Latest Round of Identity Theft Roulette. *Computer*,
- [15] Brewer, R. (2016). Ransomware attacks: Detection, prevention and cure. *Network Security*.
- [16] Boyens, J., Paulsen, C., Moorthy, R., & Bartol, N. (2015). Supply Chain Risk Management Practices for Federal Information Systems and Organizations (NIST SP 800-161). National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- [17] Jang-Jaccard, J., & Nepal, S. (2014). A survey of emerging threats in cybersecurity. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 80(5), 973–993. .
- [18] Yoon, S., Park, S., Park, H., & Choi, J. (2020). Cyber Security Risk Assessment Model for a Vessel in Maritime Sector. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 8(6), 438.
- [19] Gupta, B. B., Arachchilage, N. A. G., & Psannis, K. E. (2018). Defending against phishing attacks: Taxonomy of methods, current issues and future directions. *Telecommunication Systems*, 67(2), 247–267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11235-017-0334-z>
- [20] Lallie, H. S., Shepherd, L. A., Nurse, J. R. C., Erola, A., Epiphaniou, G., Maple, C., & Bellekens, X. (2021). Cyber security in the age of COVID-19: A timeline and analysis of cyber-crime and cyber-attacks during the pandemic. *Computers & Security*, 105, 102248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2021.102248>
- [21] Mirsky, Y., & Mahler, T. (2019). Deepfake Detection Using Contrastive Learning. *ArXiv:1906.06876 [Cs, Stat]*.
- [22] He, W., Ash, I., Anwar, M., Li, L., Yuan, X., Xu, L., & Tian, X. (2020). Improving employees' intellectual capacity for cybersecurity through evidence-based

- malware training. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 21(2), 203–213. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIC-05-2019-0112>
- [23] Jensen, M. L., Dinger, M., Wright, R. T., & Thatcher, J. B. (2017). Training to Mitigate Phishing Attacks Using Mindfulness Techniques. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 34(2), 597–626.
- [24] Wangen, G., Hallstensen, C., & Snekkenes, E. (2018). A framework for estimating information security risk assessment method completeness. *International Journal of Information Security*, 17(6), 681–699.
- [25] Cheng, L., Liu, F., & Yao, D. (2017). Enterprise data breach: Causes, challenges, prevention, and future directions. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*
- [26] Cichonski, P., Millar, T., Grance, T., & Scarfone, K. (2012). *Computer Security Incident Handling Guide* (NIST SP 800-61r2). National Institute of Standards and Technology. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-61r2>
- [27] Ab Rahman, N. H., & Choo, K.-K. R. (2015). A survey of information security incident handling in the cloud. *Computers & Security*, 49, 45–69.
- [28] Bada, M., Sasse, A. M., & Nurse, J. R. C. (2019). Cyber Security Awareness Campaigns: Why do they fail to change behaviour? *ArXiv:1901.02672 [Cs]*.
- [29] Dodge, R. C., Carver, C., & Ferguson, A. J. (2007). Phishing for user security awareness. *Computers & Security*, 26(1), 73–80.
- [30] Aldawood, H., & Skinner, G. (2019). Reviewing Cyber Security Social Engineering Training and Awareness Programs—Pitfalls and Ongoing Issues. *Future Internet*, 11(3).